Towards technomimetic spoked wheels: dynamic hexakis-heteroleptic coordination at a hexakis-terpyridine scaffold[†]

Michael Schmittel* and Prasenjit Mal

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th November 2007, Accepted 21st December 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b718185j

The synthesis of hexakis-terpyridine 4 and an expedient approach to its dynamic hexakis-heteroleptic complexes are elaborated, the latter being readily accessible precursors for the construction of technomimetic molecular spoked wheels.

Technomimetic molecules are design based molecules emulating the macroscopic world on the molecular level. In this context, technomimetic molecules,¹ molecular machines,² metal helicates,³ six-leg molecules,⁴ wheelbarrows,⁵ and motors⁶ have recently received considerable interest. On the other hand, real-world spoked wheels have not found a major correspondence in the nanoscopic chemical world,⁷ *i.e.* molecular wheels with an axle unit, sturdy spokes and a circular rim, although the concept 'molecular wheel' is being employed in various associations in the literature.⁸ While a dazzling multi-step approach to a covalent D_{6h} spoked wheel has recently been realised by Höger et al.,7 a supramolecular strategy forcefully profiting from suitable preorganisation of building blocks to reduce the number of steps has not yet been elaborated. A supramolecular approach to a D_{4h} technomimetic spoked wheel has been reported previously by Sanders⁹ (not called a spoked wheel) and more recently by Lindsey and Holten (D_{3h}) ,¹⁰ but in both cases only an imperfect execution of a circular rim was achieved due to the low symmetry. Other notable approaches suffer from flexible spokes, thus generating floppy wheels.¹¹ Clearly, the construction of a supramolecular spoked wheel poses some major challenges due to the construction of a planar set of sturdy supporting spokes that have to be thoroughly linked by a circular rim. This will either require a macrocycle as a rim that is imposed on the spoke set (Fig. 1A), or it necessitates a directed macrocyclisation starting from preoriented rim elements (Fig. 1B). Being convinced of the latter strategy, we would like to report herein on an approach towards the construction of a supramolecular D_{6h} spoke set endowed with rim elements. Our approach emanates from a planar hexakis-terpyridine scaffold constituting the spoke set, which is equipped in a key step with phenanthrolines (rim elements) that are attached to the spokes via the HETTAP concept¹² (heteroleptic terpyridine and *p*henanthroline complex formation).¹³ For the finalisation of a complete spoked wheel, thus only a covalent or coordinative linkage between the rim elements will be required.



Fig. 1 Design of a technomimetic spoked wheel.

Chart 1 depicts phenanthrolines **1,2** and terpyridines **3,4** used as building blocks for the present study. Terpyridine **3** was purchased and used as received. Synthesis of compounds **1** and **2** was achieved using established protocols elaborated in our group.¹⁴ The presence of bulky alkylaryl groups at the 2,9-positions of the respective phenanthrolines **1,2** is essential to avoid formation of homoleptic complexes,¹⁵ thus guiding the reaction toward heteroleptic complexes with terpyridines.^{12,13}



Chart 1 Compounds 1-4 and their cartoon representations.

As a key building block constituting the axle and spokes unit, we designed the D_{6h} symmetric hexakis-terpyridine **4**. After the first synthesis of hexaethynylbenzene (**6**) in 1986 by Vollhardt,¹⁶ several derivatives of this interesting family of fully conjugated, rigid, quasi two-dimensional hydrocarbons have been prepared and studied,¹⁷ but so far not with 2,2': 6',2"-terpyridines as terminal ligands.¹⁸

Center of Micro and Nanochemistry and Engineering, Organische Chemie I, Universität Siegen, Adolf-Reichwein-Str. 2, D-57068 Siegen, Germany. E-mail: schmittel@chemie.uni-siegen.de; Fax: (+49) 271 740 3270

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b718185j



In Fig. 2, the synthesis of **4** is described. The first step involved the preparation of hexakis(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (**5**) *via* a Sonogashira coupling. Deprotection of **5** using K_2CO_3 in methanol led to hexaethynylbenzene (**6**).¹⁶ Since **6** was found to be very unstable, it was not purified for the ensuing step. The final transformation employed a Sonogashira coupling between **6** and 7^{13} in the presence of Pd(0) and CuI as catalyst. For this step exclusion of oxygen was crucial, because traces thereof in the reaction mixture led to a notorious and inseparable mixture of products.

Compound **4** was characterised using standard organic techniques. As can be seen from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (ESI†), the methyl groups appeared as a single signal at 2.16 (¹H) and 21.0 (¹³C) ppm. In addition, the clean ESI-MS spectra of **4** and the experimental isotopic distribution for the protonated **4** + 3(H⁺) (m/z 746.4 Da) and **4** + 2(H⁺) (m/z 1119.1 Da) were in full agreement with the suggested structure.

Since it is well known that terpyridines can form bishomoleptic complexes in the presence of various metal ions,¹⁹ it was a challenging task to elaborate a hexakis-heteroleptic complex while avoiding any homoleptic ones. All initial efforts to react 1 and 4 with Zn^{2+} led to the formation of polymeric aggregates²⁰ as evidenced by a highly insoluble precipitate with only negligible amounts of the desired hexakis-heteroleptic complex being formed. Therefore, we turned our attention to the thermodynamically less stable copper(I) complexes,¹² also prepared via the HETTAP method,¹³ as use of copper(1) avoids formation of bishomoleptic octahedral terpyridine complexes. Thus, we were successful in preparing the Cu⁺ complex C1 which is a model for capping a spoke of ligand 4 with 1 as the rim element. Analogously, when phenanthrolines 1 or 2 were combined with terpyridine 4 in the presence of the appropriate amount of [Cu(MeCN)₄]PF₆ in dichloromethane, the dark red compounds C2 and C3 formed in virtually quantitative vield (Fig. 3). These assemblies were analysed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, UV/Vis, ESI-MS and elemental analysis without the need for purification. They were found to be dynamic in nature, stable for several months and soluble in a variety of solvents like dichloromethane, acetonitrile, nitromethane, DMF, DMSO, etc.

Since it has been impossible so far to obtain single crystals, we have utilised spectroscopic techniques like ESI-MS, UV/Vis, NMR *etc.* to confirm the supramolecular structures. ESI-MS is one of the most important methods to elucidate the composition of metallo-supramolecular structures in solution.²¹ In our study, ESI-MS analysis provided conclusive evidence for the clean formation of the expected assemblies C1–C3. Fig. 4 displays the ESI-MS spectrum of C3 as a representative example, showing the presence of four signals



Fig. 3 Cartoon illustrations of C1–C3 and computed structures of C2 and C3 (hydrogens are eliminated for clarity).

in the mass region m/z = 200 to 2000, *i.e.* $[Cu_6(2)_3(4)]^{6+}$ (m/z 913.4 Da), $[Cu_6(2)_3(4)(PF_6)]^{5+}$ (m/z 1125.4 Da), $[Cu_6(2)_3(4)(PF_6)_2]^{4+}$ (m/z 1442.5 Da) and $[Cu_6(2)_3(4)(PF_6)_3]^{3+}$ (m/z 1971.7 Da). Notably, signals of the linear ligand **2** or of terpyridine **4** were not detectable. The experimental isotopic distributions (grey lines, for 4+ and 3+ charged species) were in good agreement with the calculated distributions (black lines). Similar results were also obtained for the assembly **C2** (ESI†), although it should be much more labile due to the presence of six mononuclear binding sites.

As can be seen from the ¹H NMR spectra, the mesityl protons in C1-C3 experienced a distinctive and diagnostic high field shift to *ca*. 6 ppm that is notably different from that in the free ligands (e.g. 1 in C2 exhibits a shift of 6.22 ppm as compared to 6.96 ppm for the free ligand 1, Fig. 5). Such a shift is typical for heteroleptic complex formation. Similar results were obtained for C3 (6.24/6.15 ppm), but now the two mesityl units (in vs. out) have different environments in accordance with the overall structure (Fig. 3). Also the hexakis-terpyridine 4 experienced diagnostic shifts in the ¹H NMR. In the free ligand, the methyl protons appeared as a single signal at 2.16 ppm (in [D₂]dichloromethane, 25 °C, 400 MHz), while, upon complexation, the signal was shifted downfield, now emerging at 2.28 (in C2) and 2.42/2.22 ppm (in C3) (ESI[†]). This downfield shift is readily explained by the immersion of the methyl groups of 4 into the deshielding region of the mesityl rings of 1 and 2. Likewise, the shifts of the methyls





Fig. 5 ¹H NMR spectra of 1, 4 and C2 in CD_2Cl_2 .



Fig. 6 UV/Vis absorption spectra of 4, C2 and C3 (at 2.5×10^{-6} M) in dichloromethane at 25 °C.

in phenanthrolines 1 and 2 are instructive concerning the structure of the complexes. While ¹H NMR shifts of *p*- and *o*-methyls in free 1 show up at 2.33/2.05 ppm, those of 1 in complex C2 are shifted to 1.85/1.54 ppm and similarly in complex C1 to $\delta = 1.97/1.58$ ppm. For C3 (1.96/1.94 and 1.45/1.43 ppm) the effect is even more pronounced.

UV/Vis absorption spectra can also be utilised for the characterisation of the assemblies. The absorption spectra of hexakis-terpyridine 4 and complexes C2, C3 are shown in Fig. 6. The strong absorption bands at 250-400 nm correspond to typical ligand π - π * transitions,²² whereas the band at ~ 500 nm, definitely responsible for the red colour, was assigned to a metal-to-ligand-charge-transfer (MLCT) transition. For both assemblies, the MLCT bands were nearly identical, clearly indicative of extremely similar molecular environments at the metal centres. Moreover, these MLCT bands proved to be quite similar to those of analogous heteroleptic Cu⁺ grid assemblies reported by our group.²³ The MLCT bands in the visible region at $\lambda_{max} = 479$ nm (ε_{max} = 63 200 $M^{-1} cm^{-1}$) for C2 and at 483 nm ($\varepsilon_{max} = 62~700 M^{-1}$ cm^{-1}) for C3 represent six Cu⁺ phenanthroline complex units. For comparison, MLCT bands in bis(2,2'-bipyridine) copper(I) complexes have typical $\varepsilon_{max} = 6800-8500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, while those for bisphenanthroline copper(I) complexes are in the range 3200–13 200 M^{-1} cm⁻¹.²³

To gain some structural insight, we have performed MM⁺ computations (Hyperchem[®], Hypercube Inc.). Minimised space filling models of **C2** (other rotamers are also possible) and **C3** in Fig. 3 (*vide supra*) reveal a diameter and perimeter of 3.9 nm and 12.3 nm, respectively.

In conclusion, we present herein the first synthesis of the D_{6h} symmetric hexakis-terpyridine 4, constituting our axle and

spoke set. Secondly, as a key step towards the construction of a spoked wheel we have achieved the selective formation of two multicomponent assemblies exhibiting dynamic hexakisheteroleptic complexation. Thereby, rim elements have been attached onto the spokes, now being in place for a directed macrocyclisation. For final covalent linkages between the rim elements, however, we will need to introduce alkyne (for oxidative linkage) or alkene (for metathesis) groups in the appropriate positions of 1 (3,8-positions) and/or 2 (8,8'-positions). While this functionalisation is straightforward for 1, the rotational flexibility in C2 may also allow for an undesired inter 'supramolecular' coupling. Modification of 2 therefore may be more promising. Work along these lines is ongoing and hopefully will demonstrate the success of our strategy for the preparation of molecular spoked wheels as new technomimetic molecules.

We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Humboldt Foundation (PM) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

Notes and references

- (a) G. Rapenne, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2005, 3, 1165; (b) A. Carella, C. Coudret, G. Guirado, G. Rapenne, G. Vives and J.-P. Launay, Dalton Trans., 2007, 177.
- 2 (a) W. R. Browne and B. L. Feringa, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2006, 1, 25; (b) S. Bonnet, J.-P. Collin, M. Koizumi, P. Mobian and J.-P. Sauvage, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, 18, 1239.
- 3 J. R. Nitschke, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, 103.
- 4 K.-H. Gross, F. Rieder, S. M. Moresco, A. Stojkovic and C. J. Gourdon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 892.
- 5 G. Rapenne and G. Jimenez-Bueno, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 7018.
- 6 A. Carella, G. Rapenne and J.-P. Launay, New J. Chem., 2005, 29, 288.
- 7 D. Mössinger, J. Hornung, S. Lei, S. D. Feyter and S. Höger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6802.
- 8 E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh and F. Zerbetto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 72 and references therein.
- 9 S. Anderson, H. L. Anderson and J. K. M. Sanders, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 2255.
- 10 H.-e. Song, C. Kirmaier, J. K. Schwartz, E. Hindin, L. Yu, D. F. Bocian, J. S. Lindsey and D. Holten, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, 110, 19131 and references therein.
- 11 P. Wang, C. N. Moorefield and G. R. Newkome, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1679.
- 12 M. Schmittel, V. Kalsani, R. S. K. Kishore, H. Cölfen and J. W. Bats, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 11545.
- 13 M. Schmittel, V. Kalsani, P. Mal and J. W. Bats, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 45, 6370 and references therein.
- 14 (a) M. Schmittel, C. Michel, A. Wiegrefe and V. Kalsani, Synthesis, 2001, 1561; (b) V. Kalsani, H. Ammon, F. Jäckel, J. P. Rabe and M. Schmittel, Chem.-Eur. J., 2004, 10, 5481.
- 15 M. Schmittel and A. Ganz, Chem. Commun., 1997, 999.
- 16 R. Diercks, J. C. Armstrong, R. Boese and K. P. C. Vollhardt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 268.
- (a) J. Nierle, D. Barth and D. Kuck, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 867;
 (b) C. Lepetit, C. Zou and R. Chauvin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 71, 6317.
- 18 U. S. Schubert, H. Hofmeier and G. R. Newkome, Modern Terpyridine Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006.
- 19 G. R. Newkome, T. J. Cho, C. N. Moorefield, P. P. Mohapatra and L. A. Godinez, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 1493.
- 20 S. M. Brombosz, A. J. Zucchero, R. L. Phillips, D. Vazquez, A. Wilson and U. H. F. Bunz, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 4519.
- 21 K. C. Russell, E. Leize, A. VanDorsselaer and J.-M. Lehn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 209.
- 22 X. Y. Li, J. Illigen, M. Nieger, S. Michel and C. A. Schalley, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, **6**, 1332.
- 23 M. Schmittel, V. Kalsani, C. Michel, P. Mal, H. Ammon, J. P. Rabe and F. Jäckel, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 6223.